Coherence - Change Detection Matrix For Change Analysis From Repeat-Pass SAR images: A Case Study For Volcanic Eruption Monitoring

Thu Trang LÊ,

Jean-Luc FROGER,

Alexis HRYSIEWICZ

Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, Université Clermont Auvergne







Challenge 4: Risques naturels catastrophiques et vulnérabilité socio-économique



• Introduction

• Methodology

• Experimental results

• Conclusions and perspectives

Introduction

The Earth's surface is affected by different natural and environmental disasters



Volcanic eruptions and Earthquakes





Landslides







Glacier displacements



Mining

Floods and flash floods

OBJECTIVE:

- Characterization of environmental changes (progressive, abrupt, periodic, etc.) affecting the Earth surface from temporal evolution of its radar backscattering properties and production of relevant information in terms of:
 - 1) land use/ land cover mapping,
 - 2) vulnerability to natural hazards,
 - 3) damage assessment after natural disasters (volcanoes or orthers),

4) resilience dynamics.

> Exploitation of Copernicus data time series for new natural hazard mitigation strategies.

Introduction

SAR data

Complex images (z = a + ib)

Each pixel: defined by amplitude and phase



Amplitude

Phase

Exemple of a radar image : Lascar, 17 July 2004, ASAR ASC S2 T361 F6705

→ Fully exploit both amplitude and phase information from SAR image time series

Methodology / General Strategy



Methodology / Interferometric Coherence

Interferometric Phase





$$I = S_1 \cdot S_2^*$$
$$\varphi_{\text{int}} = \phi_2 - \phi_1$$



Interferometric Phase

Quality of the interferometric phase: Coherence

$$\gamma = \frac{E\{S_1S_2^*\}}{\sqrt{E\{|S_1|^2\}E\{|S_2|^2\}}}$$
$$\hat{\gamma} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{L}S_1(i) \cdot S_2(i)^*}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{L}|S_1(i)|^2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{L}|S_2(i)|^2}$$

Decorrelation sources:

Miscoregistration; Temporal decorrelation; Geometric decorrelation; Volume decorrelation; Thermal decorrelation; Other terms of decorrelation...

Methodology / Coherence change detection matrix (CCDM)



Methodology / Similarity measures

Homogeneity Test: Variation Coefficient Test (CV)

Purely homogeneous regions of an L-look amplitude image s I : $\sigma_s = \frac{0.5227}{\sqrt{L}}$

Variation Coefficient: $CV = \frac{\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}}{\mu_{\mathcal{I}}}$ Homogeneity test: $CV(i,j) \leq \sigma_s + \delta(i,j)$

• Kullback-Leibler Distance (KLD) : $d_{KL}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2} \int (f_X - f_Y) log\left(\frac{f_X}{f_Y}\right)$

 $KLD_{\text{Log-normal}}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_X - \mu_Y)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_Y^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_Y^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\sigma_Y^2}{\sigma_Y^2} + \frac{\sigma_X^2}{\sigma_Y^2}\right) - 1$

[Atto *et al*. 2013]

• Wishart Distance (WD) :

$$d_{wishart}\left([T]_{1}, [T]_{2}\right) = \ln \frac{\det\left\{[T]_{2}\right\}}{\det\left\{[T]_{1}\right\}} + \operatorname{Tr}\left\{[T]_{2}^{-1}[T]_{1}\right\} ; \text{ with } [T] = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} k_{i} k_{i}^{*T} \qquad [\text{Lee et al. 1994}]$$

Methodology / Change Detection Matrix (CDM)

(i, j) **Bi-date analysis** ** I_1 \circ \circ \circ 0 0 \circ 0 \bigcirc I_2 $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ \circ \circ Thresholding $^{\circ}$ \bigcirc 0 \circ 0 0 000 000 000 $\circ \circ \circ$ 000 $\circ \circ \circ$ 000 000 I_3 \bigcirc 0 0 \circ 0 0 0 0 **Bi-date Similarity Matrix** CDM 1 Changed pixel $K^w_{t,\ell}(i,j) = \mathfrak{D}\left(\mathcal{I}^w_t(i,j), \mathcal{I}^w_\ell(i,j)\right)_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq N\\ 1 \leq \ell \leq N}}$ Unchanged pixel I_N $M_{t,\ell}^w(i,j) = \begin{bmatrix} K_{t,\ell}^w(i,j) \overset{0}{\underset{1}{\leq}} \lambda \end{bmatrix}$

Methodology / Change Detection Matrix (CDM)

✤ Multidate analysis



Methodology / Change dynamics

***** Measure of change dynamics



Experimental results / Study area and data used



Coherence image after the eruption on Avril 03, 2018 (between two images acquired on March 23 and Avril 04, 2018)

Specifications	Sentinel-1 dataset
Satellite	Sentinel-1A
Launched date	April 03, 2014
Satellite orbit	Descending
Repeat cycle	12 days
Imaging frequency	C-band at 5.4 GHz
Imaging mode	SM
Data product	SM-SLC
Resolution	3.3 m×4.9 m (range×azimuth)
Polarization	VV
Beam	S4
Number of images	49 images
Acquisition dates (YYYY/MM/DD)	20180110; 20180122; 20180203; 20180215; 20180227; 20180311; 20180323; 20180404; 20180416; 20180428; 20180510; 20180522; 20180603; 20180615; 20180627; 20180709; 20180721; 20180802; 20180814; 20180826; 20180907; 20180919; 20181001; 20181013; 20181106; 20181118; 20181130; 20181212; 20181224; 20190105; 20190117; 20190129; 20190210; 20190222; 20190306; 20190318; 20190330; 20190411; 20190423; 20190505; 20190517; 20190529; 20190610; 20190622; 20190704; 20190716; 20190728; 20190809; 20190821.

Experimental results / Analysis of change dynamics



Map of change dynamics derived from CCDM

Map of change dynamics derived from KLD - CDM

Eruption on 2018/04/03



Interferogram (2018/03/23 – 2018/04/04)



Coherence (2018/03/23 - 2018/04/04)





KLD (2018/03/23 - 2018/04/04)



Eruption on 2018/04/27



Interferogram (2018/04/16 – 2018/04/28)



Coherence (2018/04/16 - 2018/04/28)





KLD (2018/04/16 - 2018/04/28)



Eruption on 2018/07/13



Interferogram (2018/07/09 – 2018/07/21)



Coherence (2018/07/09 - 2018/07/21)





KLD (2018/07/09 - 2018/07/21)



Eruption on 2018/09/15



Interferogram (2018/09/07 - 2018/09/19)



Coherence (2018/09/07 - 2018/09/19)





KLD (2018/09/07 - 2018/09/19)



Eruption on 2019/02/18



Interferogram (2019/02/10 – 2019/02/22)



Coherence (2019/02/10 - 2019/02/22)





KLD (2019/02/10 - 2019/02/22)



Eruption on 2019/06/16



Interferogram (2019/06/10 – 2019/06/22)



Coherence (2019/06/10 - 2019/06/22)





KLD (2019/06/10 - 2019/0/22)

Eruption on 2019/07/29



Interferogram (2019/07/29 - 2019/08/09)



Coherence (2019/07/29 - 2019/08/09)





KLD (2019/07/29 - 2019/08/09)



Eruption on 2019/08/13



Interferogram (2019/08/09 – 2019/08/21)



Coherence (2019/08/09 - 2019/08/21)





KLD (2019/08/09 - 2019/08/21)



Multitemporal coherence/change maps



Coherence images between two consecutive acquisitions



20180323 - 20180404



20180416 - 20180428



20180709 - 20180721



20180907 - 20180919



20190210 - 20190222



20190610 - 20190622



20190728 - 20190809



20190809 - 20190821

Coherence images between a reference acquisition and the others



20180323 - 20180404



20180110 - 20190222



20180110 - 20180428



20180110 - 20190622



20180110 - 20180721



20180110 - 20190809



20180110 - 20180919



20180110 - 20190821

Lava flow extent: Color composition images



R: 2018/03/11 - 2018/03/23; G: 2018/03/23 - 2018/04/04; B: 2018/04/04 - 2018/04/16



R: 2019/02/10 - 2019/02/22; G: 2019/02/22 - 2019/03/06; B: 2019/03/06 - 2019/03/18



R: 2018/04/16 - 2018/04/28; G: 2018/04/28 - 2018/05/10; B: 2018/05/10 - 201//05/22



R: 2019/06/10 - 2019/06/22; G: 2019/06/22 - 2019/07/04; B: 2019/07/04 - 2019/07/16



R: 2018/07/09 - 2018/07/21; G: 2018/07/21 - 2018/08/02; B: 2018/08/02 - 2018/08/14



R: 2019/07/16 - 2019/07/28; G: 2019/07/28 - 2019/08/09; B: 2019/08/09 - 2019/08/21



R: 2018/09/07 - 2018/09/19; G: 2018/09/19 - 2018/10/01; B: 2018/10/01 - 2018/10/13

Experimental results / Detection of change due to forest fire



Mixed CCDM

- ✓ Extend CDM approach with interferometric coherence, similarity measure of phase signals.
- ✓ Mixed CDM allows a better understanding of change behavior of different objects on the ground
- ✓ Change information from mixed CDM used alongside GIS → reduce uncertainty and serve in producing thematic maps

- > Spatial prediction of hazards and vulnerability assessment;
- > LULC classification from temporal coherence images.

Thank you for your attention!