# The translational modes of the inner core : why they might not exist

#### Frédéric Chambat

École Normale Supérieure de Lyon Laboratoire de Géologie, Terre Planètes Environnement

Strasbourg -  $19^{\rm th}$  november 2014

Yanick Ricard, Sylvie Benzoni Renaud Deguen, Thierry Alboussière Fabien Dubuffet, Elise Poupart, Noé Rabaud

## Jump conditions at phase changes

#### Frédéric Chambat

École Normale Supérieure de Lyon Laboratoire de Géologie, Terre Planètes Environnement

Strasbourg -  $19^{\rm th}$  november 2014

Yanick Ricard, Sylvie Benzoni Renaud Deguen, Thierry Alboussière Fabien Dubuffet, Elise Poupart, Noé Rabaud

글 🕨 🛛 글

- I. In which situation does the modified jump condition appears
  - II. Highlight the problem with a very simple flow-model
    - III. A method to investigate boundary conditions

< ∃→

э

#### I. In which situation does the modified jump condition appears

1. The Slichter mode : an oscillatory mode



< ∃ →

3

#### 2. The permanent convective translation within the inner core



Figure 1. A schematic representation of the translation mode of the inner core, with the grey shading showing the potential temperature distribution (or equivalently the density perturbation) in a cross-section including the translation direction (adapted from Alboussière *et al.* 2010).

#### Figure: Deguen, 2013

Boundary condition?

э

# Jump conditions at phase changes

#### 3. The mantel convection





 $[\![\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{n}]\!]=0$ 

Notation : 
$$[\sigma n] := \sigma^+ n - \sigma^- n$$

Spherical geometry :

 $\sigma_{rr}^+ = \sigma_{rr}^- \qquad \sigma_{r\theta}^+ = \sigma_{r\theta}^- \qquad \sigma_{r\phi}^+ = \sigma_{r\phi}^-.$ 

I will show that, at a permeable interface, like a phase change :

$$\llbracket \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{n} \rrbracket = \frac{2\gamma}{R} \, \boldsymbol{n} - \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\tau} \gamma.$$

→ < E > < E > E - のQC



### II. Highlight the problem :

a very simple flow-model (spherical)

문에 비문어

# A simple model to highlight the problem



Density R R+dR Radus Stokes Equation, viscous linear quasi-static fluid :

 $\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0},$ 

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{0},$$
$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = -\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{I} + \eta \, \left( \nabla \boldsymbol{v} + (\nabla \boldsymbol{v})^T \right) + \lambda \left( \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \right) \boldsymbol{I}.$$

Radial symetry :

 $\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{v}(r)\boldsymbol{r},$  $\boldsymbol{\rho} = \boldsymbol{\rho}(r).$ 

Not essential but simplifies the proof :  $\eta = \text{constant}$ .

< 注→ < 注→ -

# A simple model to highlight the problem

$$\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0},$$
$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbf{0},$$
$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = -\boldsymbol{\rho} \mathbf{I} + \eta \left( \nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^T \right) + \lambda \left( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \right) \mathbf{I}.$$

$$v = \frac{\text{Cst}}{\rho r^2}$$
$$\sigma_{rr} + 4\eta \frac{v}{r} = \text{Cst}'.$$

If  $\rho \rightarrow \text{discontinuous}$  :

$$\llbracket \rho v \rrbracket = 0$$
$$\llbracket \sigma_{rr} \rrbracket = -\frac{4\eta}{R} \llbracket v \rrbracket$$

$$\llbracket \sigma_{rr} \rrbracket = \frac{2\gamma}{R}.$$

but with 
$$\gamma = -2\eta \llbracket v \rrbracket = -2\eta \rho v \llbracket 1/\rho \rrbracket.$$

The surface tension  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$  depends on the flow.

▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ■ ● • • • • • •

$$\partial_r(\rho v r^2) = 0$$
  
$$\partial_r \sigma_{rr} + \frac{1}{r} (2\sigma_{rr} - \sigma_{\theta\theta} - \sigma_{\phi\phi}) = 0$$
  
$$\sigma_{rr} = -p + 2\eta \partial_r v + \lambda \partial_r (r^2 v)/r^2$$
  
$$\sigma_{\theta\theta} = \sigma_{\phi\phi} = -p + 2\eta v/r + \lambda \partial_r (r^2 v)/r^2$$
  
$$\partial_r \sigma_{rr} + 4\eta \partial_r \left(\frac{v}{r}\right) = 0.$$

We try to understand why Think of a thin balloon or a soap bubble



$$\sigma_{rr} = -p + 2\eta \partial_r v + \lambda \partial_r (r^2 v)/r^2$$
  

$$\sigma_{\theta\theta} = \sigma_{\phi\phi} = -p + 2\eta v/r + \lambda \partial_r (r^2 v)/r^2$$
  
Tectonics, deviatoric stress : push in  
one direction  $\leftrightarrow$  pull perpendicular  

$$\sigma_{\theta\theta} = \sigma_{rr} - 2\eta (\partial_r v_r - v_r/r).$$

$$\implies \sigma_{\theta\theta} \to \infty.$$

on the boundary. Surface tension !

< 注→

### III. A method to investigate boundary conditions : discontinuous functions (distributions)

< ∃→

э



Velocity written in a discontinuous form :

$$\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{v}^{-} \mathbb{1}^{-} + \boldsymbol{v}^{+} \mathbb{1}^{+},$$

i.e.

$$\llbracket \mathbf{v} \rrbracket = \mathbf{v}^+ - \mathbf{v}^-$$

Then :

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathbb{1}^{\pm} = \pm \boldsymbol{n} \,\delta, \quad \boldsymbol{\nabla} \delta = \boldsymbol{n} \,\delta'.$$

and then

$$abla \mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{\nabla} \mathbf{v})^{-} \mathbb{1}^{-} + (\mathbf{\nabla} \mathbf{v})^{+} \mathbb{1}^{+} + \llbracket \mathbf{v} 
rbracket \otimes \mathbf{n} \delta.$$

< 注→

ъ.

General result, curved interface, discontinuous viscosity :

$$\llbracket \rho \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \rrbracket = 0 \qquad \llbracket \sigma \boldsymbol{n} \rrbracket = \frac{2\gamma}{R} \, \boldsymbol{n} - \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\tau} \gamma$$

with :

$$\begin{split} \gamma &= -2 \left[\!\left[\nu\right]\!\right] \rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \quad \text{surface tension} \\ &\frac{2}{R} = \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \text{total surface curvature} \\ &\nu &= \int \eta \partial_z \left(1/\rho\right) \, \mathrm{d}z \quad \text{the surface intrinsic property} \end{split}$$

On a plane interface with a continuous viscosity :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \rho v_z \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{zz} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} v_x \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{xz} \end{bmatrix} = \partial_x \left( 2\eta \begin{bmatrix} v_z \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

□ > < E > < E > E - のへで

# Numerical test



Figure: Velocities for the solutions as function of x and z. Left panels : numerical solution for a diffuse interface. Middle : numerical solution for a sharp interface by using the 'classical' jump conditions (continuous traction). Right : numerical solution for a sharp interface by using the 'classical' jump conditions (continuous traction).



Conclusion : when there is a mass transfert across a density jump interface, then the traction is not continuous. There is a 'dynamic' surface tension.

#### Prospect

- Inner-core convection
- Mantle convection (410 and 660 km phase changes)
- Rayleigh-Benard and Rayleigh-Taylor convection with phase change
- More generally fluid dynamics with phase change
- Slichter mode?
- P-SV conversion ?
- (spherical) Shock waves?
- Energy, temperature, entropy jumps?
- Mesure of the surface tension?

3.5 3

#### C. R. Geoscience 346 (2014) 110-118



Internal geophysics (Physics of Earth's interior)

# Jump conditions and dynamic surface tension at permeable interfaces such as the inner core boundary



化压力 化压力

Frédéric Chambat<sup>a,\*</sup>, Sylvie Benzoni-Gavage<sup>b</sup>, Yanick Ricard<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratoire de géologie de Lyon, CNRS UMR 5276, École normale supérieure de Lyon, 46, allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon cedex 07, France <sup>b</sup> Institut Camille-Jordan, CNRS UMR 5208, Université de Lyon, Université Claude-Bernard Lyon-1, bâtiment Braconnier, 43, boulevard du 11-November-1918, 69622 Villentomne cedex, France

<sup>c</sup> Laboratoire de géologie de Lyon, CNRS UMR 5276, Université de Lyon, Université Claude-Bernard Lyon-1, bâtiment Géode, 2, rue Raphaêl-Dubois, 69622 Villeurbanne cedex, France

#### Earth's Inner core special issue